UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,444	06/23/2003	Michael L. Brundage	MSFT-1753/301638.1	7697
	7590 12/04/200 WASHBURN LLP (M	EXAMINER		
CIRA CENTRE	E, 12TH FLOOR	,	GORTAYO, DANGELINO N	
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/601,444	BRUNDAGE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	DANGELINO N. GORTAYO	2168	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original for replacements or repla	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the continuation of the continuation o	21. See attached Notice of Non-Con		,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> M The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		condition for anowall	
13. Other:	, , 		
/Tim T. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168	/Dangelino N Gortayo/ Examiner, Art Unit 2168		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: These issues include the limitation "translating each of the at least one node objects using intermediate language operators represented by a unique corresponding node type and class, wherein there are multiple node types per class and one class per node type" and "effecting apartitioning of the at least one XML language inquiry by distributing one or more portions of the semantic intermediate language representation to corresponding query engines based upon a data source" in the proposed amendment of claim 1 and similarly in independent claims 11, 17, and 21. The scope of independent claims 1, 11, 17, and 21 have been changed with the amendment above, and would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument as set forth in the Applicant's arguments filed 11/20/2008, that Manikutty and Shanmugasundaram do not teach translating each of the at least one node object using intermediate language operators represented by a unique corresponding node type and class, wherein there are multiple node types per class and one class per node type, is based on the propsed amendments that change the scope of independent claims 1, 11, 17, and 21.